Human Considerations in Determining Conservation Priorities Letter to the Editor, *Science*

Final draft (28 April 2008)

We applaud the intent and innovations of C. Kremen et al. ("Aligning Conservation Priorities Across Taxa in Madagascar with High-Resolution Planning Tools", 11 April, p.222-6), but are deeply concerned by their omission of human considerations in determining "conservation priorities" for Madagascar. One mere sub-clause ("delineation of protected areas requires taking socioeconomic factors into account," p.224) acknowledges that people matter to the location and consequences of biodiversity conservation. Yet just as "any conservation prioritization based on a single surrogate taxon would be of limited utility" (p.223), so will any prioritization that omits the keystone species: humans.

Conservation efforts that do not put humans at the center of the planning process routinely fail, and often harm the rural poor. The design of protected area networks can hardly be deemed "efficient" if it ignores the likelihood of success of conservation efforts or their costs and benefits for local peoples (1, 2). The spatial heterogeneity that motivates Kremen et al.'s modeling strategy equally characterizes human behavior and well-being in Madagascar, as elsewhere (3, 4, 5, 6). The size of the local population, their income opportunities, and institutional arrangements (e.g., land tenure) all heavily impact conservation incentives and performance independent of an area's protected status (7, 8, 9, 10). Externally imposed changes in land use for conservation often face understandable resistance by affected populations, can disrupt human institutional arrangements that protect nature (11, 12), and beget considerable negative spillover effects on local residents (13). One cannot possibly "optimally" identify complements to the existing reserve network without data on human behaviors or well-being.

Conservation science that does not recognize the choices and goals of the peoples who inhabit biodiverse lands is likely to fail when translated into practice, with potentially severe human and biological consequences.

CHRISTOPHER B. BARRETT, ^{1*} PASCAL ANDRIAMANAMBINA, ² MARC BELLEMARE, ³ OLIVER T. COOMES, ⁴ PAUL J. FERRARO, ⁵ CLARK C. GIBSON, ⁶ NADIA RABESAHALA HORNING, ⁷ BART MINTEN, ⁸ CHRISTINE M. MOSER, ⁹ ELINOR OSTROM, ¹⁰ ALEXANDER PFAFF, ³ JEAN CLAUDE RANDRIANARISOA, ¹¹ JUAN ROBALINO, ¹² DAVID E. SAHN, ¹³ MICHAEL SIMSIK, ¹⁴ DAVID STIFEL ¹⁵

¹ Department of Applied Economics and Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-7801 USA.

² Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA.

³ Terry Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University, 201 Science Drive, Box 90239, Durham, NC 27708-0239 USA.

⁴ Department of Geography, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2K6 Canada.

⁵ Department of Economics, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30302-3992 USA.

References

- (1) R. Naidoo et al., Trends in Ecology and Evolution 21, 681 (2006).
- (2) G.A. Sanchez-Azofeifa et al., Conservation Biology 21, 1165 (2007).
- (3) B. Minten and C.B. Barrett, World Development 36, 797 (2008).
- (4) K. Brandon et al., World Development 33, 1403 (2005).
- (5) B. Minten et al., *Agriculture, Pauvreté Rurale, et Politiques Économiques à Madagascar*. Antananarivo: INSTAT/FOFIFA (2003).
- (6) D. Stifel and B. Minten, Agricultural Economics, (in press).
- (7) T. Elmqvist et al., *PLoS ONE* **2**, e402 (2007)...
- (8) C. Moser, Environmental Sciences, forthcoming.
- (9) C.B. Barrett and P. Arcese, *Land Economics*, **74**, 449 (1998).
- (10) E. Ostrom and H. Nagendra, *PNAS* **103**, 19224 (2006).
- (11) T. Dietz, E. Ostrom and P. C. Stern, Science, 302, 1907 (2003).
- (12) T. Hayes, World Development **34**, 2064 (2006).
- (13) J. Robalino, Environment and Development Economics 12, 521 (2007)

⁶ Department of Political Science, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0521 USA.

⁷ Department of Political Science, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT 05753 USA.

⁸ International Food Policy Research Institute, DPS Road, Pusa, New Delhi 110012, India.

⁹ Department of Economics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5330 USA.

¹⁰Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47408, USA and Center for the Study of Institutional Diversity, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ 85287 USA.

¹¹ Department of Policy Analysis and Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA.

¹² Environment for Development Initiative, Tropical Agricultural Research and Higher Education Center, CATIE 7170, Turrialba, Costa Rica.

¹³ Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA.

¹⁴ Country Director, U.S. Peace Corps, B.P. 85, Bamako, Mali.

¹⁵ Department of Economics and Business, Lafayette College, Easton, PA, 18042-1776 USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cbb2@cornell.edu